

ACKNOWLEDGE AND HONOR THE
DOCTORS, NURSES AND STAFF
OF ST. MARY MERCY HOSPITAL

HON. THADDEUS G. McCOTTER

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 2, 2005

Mr. McCOTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to acknowledge and honor the doctors, nurses and staff of St. Mary Mercy Hospital, as they celebrate in receiving the 2005 HealthGrades Distinguished Hospital Award for Clinical Excellence.

In receiving the award, St. Mary Mercy Hospital was ranked in the top 5 percent in the Nation for overall clinical excellence. The hospital also received the HealthGrades Distinguished Hospital Award for Patient Safety, ranking them in the top 2 percent in the Nation for patient safety outcomes.

I am proud to report that the awards place St. Mary Mercy Hospital as 1 of 30 hospitals in the Nation to receive both designations within the same year. It is a testament to the dedication, devotion, and determination of the men and women who daily provide a high quality of care to patients.

Mr. Speaker, the heritage of the Felician Sisters is the foundation for St. Mary Mercy Hospital, which for the past 45 years, has been a premier provider of healthcare in our community. Founded by Blessed Mary Angela, whose care for the poor and homeless in Warsaw, Poland gave birth to the Felician congregation, the Felician Sisters were dedicated to a ministry of healing and service, based on Mary Angela's mission of "responding to the needs of the times."

In the spirit of Mary Angela and the Felician Sisters I stand today to commend and applaud the great doctors, nurses and staff of St. Mary Mercy Hospital for their national recognition and accomplishments. More importantly I praise these angels of medicine for upholding the oath of Hippocrates by "maintaining the utmost respect for every human life."

REPEAL DON'T ASK DON'T TELL

HON. SAM FARR

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 2, 2005

Mr. FARR. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor to call attention to important legislation that has been introduced today, The Military Readiness Enhancement Act. I am an original co-sponsor of this legislation which would replace "Don't Ask Don't Tell," with a non-discrimination policy for all military personnel.

A decade ago, "Don't Ask Don't Tell" was enacted as a compromise to allow lesbian, gay and bisexual military personnel to serve honorably in the military as long as they didn't disclose their sexual orientation. It was a bad policy then and it's a bad policy now. It has resulted in the discharge of more than 10,000 dedicated and trained military personnel merely on the basis of their sexual orientation.

There are two issues this bill is addressing—military readiness and civil justice.

Military readiness is being compromised by discharging critically needed military linguists to fight the Global War on Terrorism. Shortly

after September 11, 2001, we can vividly remember the frantic search for linguists, particularly Arabic and Farsi speakers. But because of "Don't Ask Don't Tell," the Department of Defense has discharged 20 Arabic linguists and 6 Farsi linguists for no other reason than their sexual orientation. No one can dispute that these linguists, who attended the Defense Language Institute located in my congressional district, are mission essential to the Global War on Terrorism. If we didn't think so before, surely we can agree now that language capability and proficiency is just as much of a weapon system as guns and bullets.

Repealing "Don't Ask Don't Tell" is just as much a civil justice issue. It has created a separate class of people who are discriminated against based solely on their sexual orientation. Sixty years ago our military was at the forefront of the civil rights struggle by accepting African Americans as soldiers, sailors and airmen. The Military Readiness Enhancement Act will extend a non-discrimination policy for sexual orientation much as it did in adopting a color-blind non-discrimination policy. Ending racism in the military, which produced military leaders like Colin Powell, the former Joint Chiefs of Staff and Secretary of State, was an advancement of civil rights for all Americans.

The Constitution guarantees equal protection under the law for all citizens. Just because you decide to honorably serve your country by joining the military, doesn't mean you should have to forfeit the right to equal protection under the law.

THE 1995 BEIJING PLATFORM OF
ACTION CONTAINS NO RIGHT TO
ABORTION

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 2, 2005

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, it is absolutely clear that the "Programme of Action" produced by both the 1994 Cairo Population Conference and the 1995 Beijing Women's Conference did not create, adopt, endorse, or promote a right to abortion.

I know. I was there in an official capacity at both conferences. The outcomes of both were a remarkable victory for the pro-life movement—those of us who recognize that all human life is sacred and that both legal and illegal abortion is violence against children and the exploitation of women. It was a victory for vulnerable unborn children who would be killed by dismemberment and chemical poisoning and for women who deserve better than the cruelty of abortion.

The outcome was a stunning defeat for the Clinton Administration, which sought to impose an international right to abortion on the entire world.

So why is the Bush Administration seeking to reaffirm that the Beijing consensus did not include a right to abortion? Because clarity, transparency and truthfulness is needed at this time to dispel a pernicious myth—the big lie—promoted by some that these U.N. documents now endorse abortion. Nothing, Mr. Speaker, could be further from the truth.

Over the past 10 years, pro-abortionists have sought to convey the impression that

both Cairo and Beijing—by supporting reproductive health, for example—includes the slaughter of unborn children by abortion.

Instead of focusing on women's economic and political empowerment, an end to all forms and manifestations of discrimination, and an end to violence against women, some have sought to distort the Cairo and Beijing consensus to include the killing of girls and boys by abortion.

Yesterday I chaired a hearing on the horrific behavior of U.N. Peacekeepers in the Congo who have raped and sexually exploited girls and young women. As the prime sponsor of the "Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000" I take a backseat to no one in promoting women's human rights. Recent scandals, like the Congo or the oil for food scandal, begs the question of honesty and transparency at the U.N.

Despite having no mandate to promote abortion, the U.N. Compliance Committee for the Convention on All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) has recently scolded Mexico, Colombia, Chile, Peru, Zimbabwe, Myanmar, Luxembourg, Ireland, Italy, Croatia, Uruguay, Portugal, Nepal, Northern Ireland, Lichtenstein, Paraguay, and Samoa for their laws and policies on abortion.

In addition, at the end of 2004, the U.N. Human Rights Committee issued a report that absolutely overstepped its bounds and told Poland to repeal their pro-life laws. The report stated, "The State party should liberalize its legislation and practice on abortion." For a U.N. committee that purported to respect fundamental human rights to condemn Poland—and others—for protecting their unborn babies is scandalous. Unborn children deserve respect in law and in practice—these littlest of humans deserve to have their basic human rights protected.

A Center for Reproductive Rights internal document talks about reinterpreting terms and phrases in international declarations, like the Cairo and Beijing documents, to promote abortion and limit parental rights throughout the world. I posted in the December 8, 2003 CONGRESSIONAL RECORD the Center for Reproductive Rights internal documents where one of their trustees said, "We have to fight harder, be a little dirtier." These papers reveal a Trojan Horse of deceit. In their own words, these documents demonstrate how abortion promotion groups are pushing abortion here and abroad, not by direct argument, but by twisting words and definitions. In discussing legal strategies to legalize abortion internationally they go as far as to say, "... there is a stealth quality to the work: we are achieving incremental recognition of values without a huge amount of scrutiny from the opposition. These lower profile victories will gradually put us in a strong position to assert a broad consensus around our assertions." The abortion lobby admits they are using deceptive tactics to push abortion on countries that have laws protecting unborn boys and girls.

All the United States wants to do at this conference is to be truthful, nonambiguous and accurate about what the Beijing Programme of Action actually says about abortion and get on with the real work of helping women throughout the world.